UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,750	02/23/2004	Frank Dimeo JR.	2771-546 CIP 2	2238
23448 7590 04/06/2009 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY / TECHNOLOGY LAW PO BOX 14329 PEGEAR CHATRIAN CLERARY NG 27700			EXAMINER	
			SAINT SURIN, JACQUES M	
KESEARCH II	RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/784,750	DIMEO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	J M. SAINT SURIN	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apple Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
• •	VIO OET TO EVOIDE AMONTHU	2) OD TUUDTY (20) DAYO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 December 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42,46 and 47</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>1-32,35-38,46 and 47</u> is/are allowed.	·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34,39-42 and 133</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/784,750 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hough et al. (US Patent 3,437,511).

Regarding claims 33-34, Hough discloses a gas-sensing filament (10) comprising: a coating structure and a core structure (a composite filament comprising a core of a high strength material), (col. 3, lines 31-35); wherein said coating structure comprises nickel or nickel (such as tungsten having a surface coating of boron nickel, col. 3, line 35) and wherein said core structure comprises silicon carbide (wherein said core structure comprises silicon carbide (wherein said core structure comprises silicon carbide, col. 5, line 19). Regarding claim 34, it is rejected for the reasons set fort for claim 33. Furthermore, Hough et al. discloses the carbon in col. 4, line 38.

4. Claims 39, 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ricco et al. (US Patent 5,834,627) in view of Messing et al. (US Patent 4,367,127).

Regarding claim 39, Ricco discloses a gas sensor assembly comprising a gassensing filament (see: col. 1, lines 64-67) and a catalyst is deposited on one or more electrically selected filaments (a wide variety of metals (e.g., Pt, Pd, Rh, Ir, Ru, Re, Ni,

Application/Control Number: 10/784,750

Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

Au. However, Ricco does not particularly disclose a nickel-copper-aluminum alloy. Berchtold discloses a nickel-copper-aluminum alloy (see col. 2, lines 9-10). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize in Ricco the nickel-copper-aluminum alloy of Berchtold because the nickel-copper-aluminum alloys have a very good resistance to corrosion and a very good processability. Nickel, chromium, aluminium, copper and lead are examples of metals which can benefit from reinforcement with carbon filaments in certain uses. Thus, the strength of components made of nickel or chromium or their alloys which are subject to high temperatures, for example turbine blades, may be improved by the incorporation of carbon filaments to make the above combination more effective.

Regarding claim 41-42, Ricco discloses a gas sensor assembly comprising a gas-sensing filament (see: col. 1, lines 64-67). However, it does not disclose a nickel-containing gas-sensing filament having a porous surface. Messing discloses gas a Messing discloses filaments comprising the tows are coated with a thin layer of electroplated or otherwise deposited nickel which adds greatly desired properties to the very porous and high surface area electrode (see col. 3, lines 30-34). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize in Ricco the

REMARKS

5. In response to applicant's argument that the reference of Morin is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the

Art Unit: 2856

claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

6. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-32, 35-38 and 46-47 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J M. SAINT SURIN whose telephone number is (571)272-2206. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays between 9:30 A.M and 6:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron L. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,750 Page 5

Art Unit: 2856

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jacques M SAINT SURIN/ Examiner, Art Unit 2856